

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/578,072	05/02/2006	Stephan Oliver Mietens	FR030140	5037		
24737 PHILIPS INT	7590 03/11/201 ELLECTUAL PROPER		EXAM	INER		
P.O. BOX 300)1		RAO, ANAND	RAO, ANAND SHASHIKANT		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2486			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			03/11/2011	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com debbie.henn@philips.com marianne.fox@philips.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandonment	10/578,072	,072 MIETENS, STEPHAN OLIVER				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andy S. Rao	2486				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on					
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compilance with 37 (2)	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed and Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	nendment which pla	aces the			
(c) A reply was received onbut it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🛛 No reply has been received.						
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a)	85). s received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$				
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requaliowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on						
after the expiration of the period for reply.	_(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		e the period for see	eking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:						

/Andy S. Rao/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2486

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

US Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)